**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

AUG 11 2006

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ARTEMIO ARAUJO-GARCIA; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-75531

Agency Nos. A96-351-329 A96-351-330 A96-351-331

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 7, 2006\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, REINHARDT and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Petitioners' claim that their constitutional rights

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-75531

were violated by being placed in removal proceedings rather than deportation proceedings lacks merit. *See Vasquez-Zavala v. Ashcroft*, 324 F.3d 1105, 1108 (9th Cir. 2003). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.